

## APPENDIX D

### Schedule of Modifications to the Development Management DPD

#### KEY TO MODIFICATIONS

Text proposed to be inserted in bold blue underlined or blue double underlined

Text now proposed to be deleted ~~blue strikethrough~~ or ~~bold blue strikethrough underlined~~

Development Management		
Main Modifications		
Modification Reference	Policy / Para / Page	Description of Change
DMM1	minor	
DMM2	minor	
DMM3	minor	
DMM4	Policy DM2	Amend Policy DM2 Part A(d) to read:  d. <u>Comply with Have regard to</u> the principles set out in 'Secured by <del>d</del> Design'.
DMM5	Paragraph 2.14	Add an additional sentence at end of paragraph 2.14 to read:  <u>Proposals will be assessed against the principles of 'Secured by Design'. The latest published guidance in this respect should be referred.</u>
DMM6	Policy DM3	Amend Policy DM3 Part A(c) to read:  c. <u>Appropriately Mm</u> aintained <u>in perpetuity</u> .
DMM7	Policy DM3	Amend second sentence of Policy DM3 Part B to read:  <u>The management of <del>it</del> these spaces, including their use and public access, will need to be agreed by the Council</u>

		<u>should be positively managed, maintained to a high standard, and public access secured.</u>
DMM8	Policy DM5	Amend Policy DM5 Part A(b) to read:  b. Makes a positive contribution to the <u>characteristics and</u> composition of the local view, <u>where possible</u> ; and
DMM9	Policy DM5	Amend Policy DM5 Part A(c) as follows:  c. <u>Be consistent with Has had regard to</u> the Council’s Tall Buildings and Views Supplementary Planning Document.”
DMM10	Policy DM5	Amend Policy DM5 to include an additional criterion DM5 Part E to read:  <u>E. Proposals should have regard to views identified in Conservation Area Appraisals and Management Plans (See Policy DM9).</u>
DMM11	Figure 2.1	Graphic update to improve legibility of map (i.e. scale and resolution).
DMM12	Policy DM6	Amend Policy DM6 Part B to read:  B. Proposals for taller buildings that project above the prevailing height of the surrounding area must be justified in <u>community benefit as well as</u> urban design terms and should conform to the following general design requirements:
DMM13	Policy DM6	Amend Policy DM6 Part C(c) as follows:  c. <u>Be consistent with Have regard to</u> the Council’s Tall Buildings and Views Supplementary Planning Document.”
DMM14	Figure 2.2	Amend Figure 2.2 to include two additional locations potentially suitable for tall buildings - Apex House and Finsbury Park – to accord with the SLR evidence base.
DMM15	Policy DM9	Amend Policy DM9 Part A to read:  A. Development that <u>sustains conserves</u> and enhances the significance of a heritage asset and its setting will be supported.
DMM16	Policy DM9	Delete the last sentence of Policy DM9 Part J(c) as follows:  c. The proposals address relevant policies (A-I) above. <u>Proposals that cause harm should be exceptional in relation to the significance of the asset, and be clearly and convincingly justified in line with national policy.</u>
DMM17	minor	
DMM18	minor	
DMM19	minor	

DMM20	Policy DM 11	<p>Delete Policy DM11 Part E as follows:</p> <p><del><u>E. Institutional investment which provides long term investment in the private rental sector (not including accommodation for students) will be supported by the Council where it meets local housing needs and is of a high quality and consistent with the policies in this Plan, including the requirement to provide affordable housing.</u></del></p>
DMM21	minor	
DMM22	Paragraph 3.9	<p>Amend paragraph 3.9 to include additional wording at the end of paragraph to read:</p> <p><u>Institutional investment which provides long-term investment in the private rental sector (not including accommodation for students) will be supported by the Council where it meets local housing needs, is of a high quality and consistent with the policies in this plan, including the requirement to provide affordable housing.</u></p>
DMM23	Policy DM12	<p>Amend Policy DM12 to include a new criterion DM12 Part F to read:</p> <p><u>F. Proposals for residential extensions should have regard to the Council’s relevant Supplementary Planning Documents, where appropriate, including the South Tottenham House Extensions SPD.</u></p>
DMM24	minor	
DMM25	Policy DM13	<p>Amend Policy DM13 Part B(a) to read:</p> <p>a. Sites that are artificially sub-divided or re-developed in phases. <u>This will be assessed based on the following:</u></p> <p><u>i. Whether the sites/land parcels are in the same ownership;</u></p> <p><u>ii. The occupied status of sites/land parcels at the grant of planning permission for the first application and at the commencement of the development;</u></p> <p><u>iii. Land agent/infrastructure provider intelligence as to development interest; and</u></p> <p><u>iv. The time lapsed between completion of the first development before planning permission is sought for the next.</u></p>
DMM26	Policy DM13	<p>Delete Policy DM13 Part B(b) as follows:</p> <p><del><u>b. Additional residential units that are created through amended planning applications;</u></del></p>
DMM27	Policy DM13	<p>Amend Policy DM13 Part B(c) to read:</p> <p>c. Additional residential units proposed above that <u>provided</u> by <u>unimplemented</u> permitted development;</p>
DMM28	Policy DM13	DM13 B (e) has a second (e) in the numbering which should be deleted.
DMM29	minor	

DMM30	Policy DM13	<p>Delete Policy DM13 Part G as follows:</p> <p><u>G. The Council will seek to achieve 20% of new units on small sites to be achieved as affordable, in line with SP2 and set out in the Planning Obligations SPD.</u></p>
DMM31	Policy DM13	<p>Delete Policy DM13 Part H as follows:</p> <p><u>H. Cash in lieu contributions are only acceptable as a last resort and are also subject to the exceptional circumstances listed above.</u></p>
DMM32	Paragraph 3.21	<p>Insert an additional paragraph after paragraph 3.21 to read:</p> <p><u>Where a building or part of a building benefits from an unimplemented prior approval for conversion to residential use as permitted development, proposals for additional residential development (i.e. through extension to the building or further change of use), will be subject to the requirement for affordable housing. In such circumstances, the affordable housing assessment will take account the total residential units to be provided in the development as a whole.</u></p>
DMM33	minor	
DMM34	minor	
DMM35	Policy DM15	<p>Amend Policy DM15 Part D(f) to read:</p> <p>f. The accommodation can be secured by agreement for occupation by members of a specified educational institution(s), or, <u>subject to viability</u>, the proposal will provide an element of affordable student accommodation <u>in accordance with Policy DM13</u>.</p>
DMM36	minor	
DMM37	Paragraph 3.33	<p>Amend text from second sentence of paragraph 3.33 and include additional text after last sentence of the paragraph to read:</p> <p><u>Applicants should submit evidence of an undertaking where appropriate.</u> Where there is not an undertaking <u>by involving</u> a specific educational institution, providers will be expected to deliver an element of student accommodation that is affordable for students <u>in line with</u> <del>the</del> London Plan <u>and associated guidance, including the Mayor's Housing SPG, which</u> sets out further details in this regard. <u>To avoid confusion, an "undertaking" is a nominations agreement between a provider of Purpose Built Student Accommodation (PBSA) and one or more academic institutions.</u></p>
DMM38	minor	
DMM39	Policy DM16	<p>Amend Policy DM16 Part A(g) to read:</p>

		g. The proposal provides for a mix of unit sizes <u>in line with Policy DM11 (i.e. proposals which seek to maximise the number of one bedroom or studio units will not be acceptable where an alternative mix including larger units could be practically provided).</u>
DMM40	Paragraph 3.36	Amend 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence of paragraph 3.36 to read:  This will ensure the Council can protect the remaining existing stock of family houses ( <b>3+ bedrooms</b> ) within these areas (see Figure 3.1 'Family Housing Protection Zone'), and to ensure that, across the Borough, the range of Haringey's housing needs can be met.
DMM41	Policy DM17	Delete Policy DM17 Part A(c) as follows:  <u>c. They satisfy the appropriate Haringey Environmental Health Standards.</u>
DMM42	minor	
DMM43	minor	
DMM44	Paragraph 3.39	Include the following additional text at the end of paragraph 3.39:  <u>HMOs will be required to satisfy the appropriate Haringey Environmental Health Standards.</u>
DMM45	Policy DM20	Amend Part A of Policy DM20 as follows:  <u>A. Open Space is protected from inappropriate development by Policy SP13. The Council will not grant planning permission for proposals for development that protects and enhances Haringey's open spaces will be supported. that would result in the loss of open space., unless an assessment has been undertaken which shows that the open space is surplus to requirements for the use as an open space</u>
DMM46	Policy DM20	Amend Part G of Policy DM20 to read:  ...on the site, <u>in accordance with the open space standards set out in the Haringey Open Space and Biodiversity Study (2013)</u> , subject to viability.
DMM47	Paragraph 4.11	Delete the last sentence of the paragraph and replace with the following:  <u>The NPPF states that existing open space, sport and recreation facilities should not be built on unless clearly surplus to requirements, or where the loss would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality, or where the need for and benefits of the development clearly outweigh the loss. Haringey's Open Space and Biodiversity Study (2013) shows that there is a significant quantitative shortfall in accessible open space to meet the</u>

		<u>needs of the Borough's population, and for this reason Strategic Policy SP13 establishes a presumption against any net loss of open space, and with the exception of small scale ancillary facilities, resists development on open spaces.</u>
DMM48	Paragraph 4.15	<p><i>Amend paragraph 4.15 as follows:</i></p> <p>The population in Haringey is projected to increase by approximately 75,000 people between 2015-2035. <u>The additional population will be accommodated through the promotion of more compact urban development on existing brownfield land. This, however, should not lead to a reduction in amenity and places greater priority on the need to protect and maintain a well-distributed, well-connected and accessible supply of open space. will place pressure on local services, including open spaces. In this context, it is important that the Council prioritises the protection and enhancement of the Borough's open spaces, as well as improving public access to them. For this reason, open space does not fall within the NPPF definition of 'brownfield / previously-developed sites', although brownfield sites that exhibit open space characteristics offer the potential to secure further provision upon redevelopment.</u></p>
DMM49	Policy DM22	<p><i>Amend Policy DM22 Part C(b) to read:</i></p> <p>b. All major development located within 500 metres of an existing DE Network, and minor new-build development located within 25 metres, will be expected to secure connection to that network <u>subject to demonstration of technical feasibility and financial viability.</u></p>
DMM50	Policy DM22	<p><i>Amend Policy DM22 Part C(c) to read:</i></p> <p>c. All major development located within 500 metres of a planned future DE Network, which is considered by the Council likely to be operational within 3 years of a grant of planning permission, will be expected to secure connection to that network <u>subject to demonstration of technical feasibility and financial viability.</u></p>
DMM51	Policy DM22	<p><i>Delete DM22 Part C(d).</i></p> <p><u><del>d. Where connection to an existing or planned future DE network is expected, applicants must submit a feasibility assessment so the Council can determine whether a connection is technically feasible and financially viable.</del></u></p>
DMM52	Policy DM23	<p><i>Amend Policy DM23 Part C to read:</i></p> <p>C. Where <u>necessary</u>, adequate mitigation <u>is not must be</u> provided <u>planning permission will be refused.</u></p>
DMM53	Policy DM23	<p><i>Amend 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence of Policy DM23 Part D to read:</i></p> <p>D. <u>Proposals for Pp</u> potentially noisy developments, <u>may be refused if it cannot be must</u> suitably demonstrated <u>d</u> that</p>

		measures will be implemented to mitigate its impact.
DMM54	Paragraph 4.58	<p>Add an additional sentence at end of paragraph 4.58 to read:</p> <p><u>In line with London Plan Policy 7.14, the Council expects that all development should be at least 'air quality neutral'.</u></p>
DMM55	minor	
DMM56	Policy DM27	<p>Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:</p> <p>D. <u>The Council will refuse pP</u> proposals for new development in Source Protection Zones <del>when there would be an</del> <u>must not result in an</u> unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.</p>
DMM57	Policy DM28	<p>Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:</p> <p>D. <u>The Council will resist pP</u> proposals <del>that would</del> <u>should must not</u> adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.</p>
DMM58	minor	
DMM59	Policy DM34	<p>Amend Policy DM34 to include an additional criterion DM34 Part B to read:</p> <p><u>B. All proposals will be considered having regard to their impact on the historic environment, in line with Policy DM9.</u></p>
DMM60	Policy DM37	<p>Amend DM37 to include a new Part B to read:</p> <p><u>B. Within Locally Significant Industrial Sites (LSIS), proposals for uses not within Use Classes B1 to B8 will only be supported in exceptional circumstances where the following can be demonstrated:</u></p> <p><u>a. The proposal is necessary to facilitate meeting the needs of modern industry and business; or</u></p> <p><u>b. The proposal relates to a use which supports the continued functioning of the LSIS as a predominantly industrial and commercial area; or</u></p> <p><u>c. The proposal would deliver strategic economic benefits of a borough or sub-regional scale; and</u></p> <p><u>d. The loss of the B Use Class land or floorspace would not compromise the strategic employment land requirement; and</u></p> <p><u>e. The proposal would not restrict, prevent or in any way prejudice the continued operation of adjoining or nearby established employment uses.</u></p>
DMM61	Policy DM38	<p>Amend title of DM38 to read:</p>

		<u>Employment Led Regeneration Local Employment Area – Regeneration Areas</u>
DMM62	Policy DM38	<p>Amend DM38 Part A to read:</p> <p>A. The Council will support proposals for mixed-use, <u>employment-led</u> development within a Local Employment Area – Regeneration Area <u>or on a highly accessible non-designated employment site</u> where this is necessary to facilitate the renewal and regeneration (including intensification) of existing employment land and floorspace. In addition to complying with other policy requirements, proposals must:</p>
DMM63	Policy DM38	<p>Delete DM38 Part A(a) as follows:</p> <p><u>a. Suitably demonstrate that for reasons of viability a mixed-use scheme is necessary to facilitate the delivery of employment floorspace;</u></p>
DMM64	Policy DM38	<p>Amend DM38 Part A(b) to read:</p> <p>b. Maximise the amount of employment floorspace to be provided within the mixed-use scheme, <u>having regard to development viability;</u></p>
DMM65	Policy DM38	<p>Amend DM38 Part A(c)(ii) to read:</p> <p>ii. Flexibility of design to enable adaptability to different business uses over the lifetime of the development; <u>and</u></p>
DMM66	Policy DM38	<p>Amend DM38 Part A(c)(iii) to read:</p> <p>iii. Environmental quality of the site <u>; and</u></p>
DMM67	Policy DM38	<p>Re-order DM38 Part A(c)(iv) to DM38 Part A(d) and amend to read:</p> <p><u>d. Make Pp</u>rovision for an element of affordable workspace where viable;<u>;</u></p>
DMM68	Policy DM38	<p>Delete DM38 Part A(d).</p> <p><u>d. Investigate the site’s potential to contribute to meeting the Borough’s identified gypsy and traveller accommodation needs;</u></p>
DMM69	Policy DM38	<p>Amend DM38 Part A(e) to read:</p> <p>e. Ensure an adequate separation of uses <u>appropriate standard of amenity for the development’s users and neighbours</u>, particularly where new residential floorspace is introduced as part of a mixed-use scheme;</p>
DMM70	Policy DM38	<p>Amended Policy DM38 Part A(g) to read:</p>



		g. <u>Be designed to</u> enable connection to ultra fast broadband.
DMM71	Paragraph 6.12	<p><i>Amend 3<sup>rd</sup> sentence of paragraph 6.12 to read:</i></p> <p>In response to these issues the Council will apply a more flexible approach to the development of some employment sites, supporting <u>employment-led</u>, mixed-use schemes where they will facilitate site regeneration and renewal.</p>
DMM72	Paragraph 6.13	<p><i>Amend paragraph 6.13 to read:</i></p> <p>Mixed-use redevelopment of employment land will be restricted to Local Employment Area – Regeneration Areas and other highly accessible non-designated employment sites (<u>see Policy DM40</u>). The Strategic Policies Local Plan provides the basis for a more flexible approach to development in such Regeneration Areas. Furthermore, the London Plan and Haringey’s Strategic Policies require that more intensive land uses are directed to highly accessible, <u>sustainable</u> locations. <u>Mixed-use schemes proposed on non-designated employment sites will not be considered suitable if they are in areas of poor transport accessibility.</u></p>
DMM73	Paragraph 6.14	<p><i>Amend 1<sup>st</sup> sentence of paragraph 6.14 to read:</i></p> <p>Applicants will be required to submit a viability assessment that clearly demonstrates that the proposed mixed-use scheme <u>is necessary to cross-subsidise and enable maximises</u> the development of employment uses.</p>
DMM74	Paragraph 6.16	<p><i>Delete 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence of paragraph 6.16.</i></p> <p><u>They should therefore be considered for suitability to meet identified need for gypsy and traveller accommodation, having regard to site viability, design considerations and other relevant Local Plan policies.</u></p>
DMM75	Paragraph 6.17	<p><i>Amend 1<sup>st</sup> sentence of paragraph 6.17 to read:</i></p> <p>Where non-employment uses are introduced it is imperative that the employment and business function of the LEA-<u>RA or non-designated site</u> remains intact.</p>
DMM76	Policy DM39	<p><i>Amend DM39 to include a new Part A to read:</i></p> <p><u>A. Warehouse living is a specific type of land use that has emerged over time in certain employment locations within Haringey, and lends particular support to the creative industries sector. It does not fall within a specific use class – and is not live/work development – and as such is considered a Sui Generis use.</u></p>
DMM77	Policy DM39	<p><i>Amend DM39 Part A to read:</i></p>

		A. The Council has made provision for proposals for warehouse living, <u>at selected Local Employment Area – Regeneration Areas</u> , within the Harringay Warehouse District as defined in the Site Allocations <u>Document DPD</u> , and the Foutayne & Markfield Road area as defined in the Tottenham Area Action Plan <u>(See Figure 6.1)</u> . <u>Warehouse living proposals will only be acceptable within these identified locations.</u>
DMM78	Policy DM39	Amend DM39 Part C to read:  C. The preparation of a masterplan will have regard to <u>individual site circumstances and</u> the following matters:
DMM79	Policy DM39	Amend DM39 Part E to read:  E. Proposals for warehouse living on industrial estates not identified in Part A of the policy will be resisted <u>as will proposals for Live/Work anywhere within the Borough.</u>
DMM80	Policy DM39	Amend DM39 to include an additional criterion to read:  <u>F. Proposals for Live/Work units anywhere within the Borough will be resisted.</u>
DMM81	Paragraph 6.20	Amend 3 <sup>rd</sup> sentence of paragraph 6.20 to read:  The expected outcome is to secure a long-term sustainable economic future for these employment land sites <u>that builds on the amenity being created and complements the unique character of the areas in which they are situated.</u>
DMM82	Paragraph 6.21	Amend paragraph 6.21 to include an additional sentence at the end of paragraph to read:  <u>In preparing proposals and site masterplans, the Council expects applicants to have engaged with and sought the views of Hackney Council, particularly on sites which adjoin the Borough boundary.</u>
DMM83	Paragraph 6.22	Insert the following paragraph after paragraph 6.22 to read:  <u>For the purposes of monitoring, warehouse living development, including Lawful Development Certificates, will contribute towards Haringey’s strategic housing requirement through the provision of non-conventional (non-self-contained) supply, and to the strategic employment land requirement through the provision of communal or dedicated employment floorspace.</u>
DMM84	minor	
DMM85	Policy DM40	Amend title of Policy DM40 to read:  <u>Loss of Non-Designated</u> Employment Land and Floorspace
DMM86	Policy DM40	Amend Policy DM40 to include a new Part A to read:

		<p><u>A. On non-designated employment sites within highly accessible or otherwise sustainable locations, the Council will support proposals for mixed-use, employment-led development where this is necessary to facilitate the renewal and regeneration (including intensification) of existing employment land and floorspace. All proposals for mixed-use development must satisfy the requirements of Policy DM38.A(a-g).</u></p>
DMM87	Policy DM40	<p>Amend Policy DM40 Part A to read:</p> <p><u>B.A. Subject to other policy requirements On all other non-designated employment sites (i.e. those which do not meet the location criteria of (A) above), the loss of employment land and floorspace will only be permitted where it can be demonstrated that the building or land is no longer suitable for continued employment use having regard to:</u></p> <p>a. <u>feasible alternative employment uses It is demonstrated that the site is no longer suitable or viable for the existing or an alternative industrial or business use; and</u></p> <p>b. <u>the age and condition of the existing building(s) and the potential for refurbishment or adaptation, in particular to more flexible unit sizes;</u></p> <p><u>c. site layout, access, and relationship to neighbouring uses;</u></p> <p><u>d. periods of long-term vacancy</u></p> <p><u>e. There is clear evidence that an open and of recent, continuous and suitable campaign to marketing the site, covering a minimum continuous period of 3 years, has been undertaken without success.</u></p>
DMM88	Policy DM40	<p>Amend Policy DM40 Part B to read:</p> <p><u>BC. Subject to (B) above, wW</u>here the Council is satisfied that the loss of non-designated employment land or floorspace is acceptable, <u>it will require new development support will be given to proposals that deliver community uses either as the sole use or as part of a mixed-use development. to apply a sequential approach to delivering an alternative use through redevelopment, as follows:</u></p> <p><u>a. Strategic community infrastructure appropriate to the location;</u></p> <p><u>b. Mixed use development that includes employment generating and/or community uses;</u></p> <p><u>c. Residential use</u></p>
DMM89	Policy DM40	<p>Amend Policy DM40 Part C to read:</p> <p><u>C. Where Pp</u>roposals involving the total loss of employment floorspace <u>will be required to make</u> a financial contribution towards employment <u>regeneration projects, training schemes, job brokerage services or business</u></p>

		<u>support related</u> initiatives <u>may be sought</u> , in line with Policy SP9 <u>and DM 48</u> .
DMM90	Paragraph 6.25	<p><i>Amend 3<sup>rd</sup> sentence of paragraph 6.25 to read:</i></p> <p>Unless these sites are given protection there is a risk they will be lost to other types of development, <u>such as housing</u>, without full consideration of their potential to support other employment generating uses <u>or strategic infrastructure</u>.</p>
DMM91	Paragraphs 6.26 to 6.28	<p><i>Delete paragraphs 6.26, 6.27 &amp; 6.28 and replace with the following:</i></p> <p><u>Therefore, the aim of the policy is to secure the re-provision of new employment floorspace to support local demand for a range of employment uses. Mixed-use redevelopment and changes of use can help to secure the re-provision of employment floorspace that is better tailored to meeting local demand, supported employment diversity, and maintains the vibrancy and viability of an area. On sites where it can be has been demonstrated that they are no longer suitable for continued employment or commercial use, it is appropriate that these be released for other forms of sustainable development, including community uses where these could help meet locally identified needs.</u></p>
DMM92	Policy DM41	<p><i>Amend Part A to read:</i></p> <p>A. Proposals for new retail, leisure and cultural uses within Metropolitan and District Town Centres, <u>and Local Centres</u>, will be supported where they:</p>
DMM93	minor	
DMM94	Policy DM46	<p><i>Amend DM46 Part A to consolidate with Part A(a) to read:</i></p> <p>A. Proposals for betting shops will only be permitted where they are appropriately located within the Metropolitan Town Centre, a District Town Centre or Local Centre, having regard to Policy DM42 (Primary and Secondary Frontages) and DM43 (Local Shopping Centres); <u>and</u>.</p>
DMM95	Policy DM46	<p><i>Delete Policy DM46 Part A(b).</i></p> <p><u>b. The total number of betting shops (including extant permissions) will not exceed 5% of the units within the town or local centre.</u></p>
DMM96	Policy DM46	<p><i>Amend Policy DM46 to include a new Part B to read:</i></p> <p><u>B. Proposals for new betting shops will be assessed against their impact on town centre vitality and viability, having regard to:</u></p> <p><u>a. The number of existing betting shops in the centre; and</u></p>

		<u><a href="#">b. The need to avoid overconcentration and saturation of this particular type of use.</a></u>
DMM97	Paragraph 6.55	<p><i>Amend paragraph 6.55 to read:</i></p> <p>The Public Health Directorate has published a Health Evidence Base (2012) to inform preparation of Haringey’s Local Plan. This highlights the <u><a href="#">link between health outcomes and the proximity of betting shops. It concludes there is sufficient evidence to demonstrate that access to gambling venues, including betting shops, leads to increased gambling behaviour and that this, in turn, is associated with poor health outcomes spatial distribution of licensed betting shops in Haringey. The baseline information has since been updated using the Council’s licensing data (2016), which shows a notable concentration of betting shops in town centres, particularly in Wood Green and Tottenham, when compared to elsewhere in the Borough.</a></u></p>
DMM98	Paragraph 6.56	<p><i>Amend 1<sup>st</sup> sentence of paragraph 6.56 to read:</i></p> <p>The Council is committed to improving the health and well-being of its residents along with visitors to the Borough, <u><a href="#">including by promoting development that achieves mixed and sustainable communities.</a></u></p>
DMM99	Paragraph 6.57	<p><i>Amend paragraph 6.57 to read:</i></p> <p>The Council considers that the <u><a href="#">five percent threshold allowance for betting shops in town centres approach</a></u> is reasonable to support economic development and demand for this use, whilst protecting against the adverse impacts that an overconcentration of betting shops can have on the vitality and viability of town and local centres. <u><a href="#">This includes impacts on: the overall quality and diversity of the centre’s retail offer, including provision for a range uses that encourage linked trips; the continuity of uses in frontages, consistent with other plan policies; local character, townscape and public realm, particularly where there is a need to ensure active frontages; and community safety.</a></u></p>
DMM100	Figure 6.1	<i>Delete Figure 6.1 “Healthy Eating Zones”.</i>
DMM101	Policy DM47	<p><i>Delete Part A of Policy DM47:</i></p> <p><u><a href="#">A. The council will resist proposals for hot food takeaway shops located within 400 meters of the boundaries of a primary or secondary school.</a></u></p>
DMM102	Policy DM47	<p><i>Amend Part B of Policy DM47 to read.</i></p> <p><u><a href="#">B Subject to (A) above, pP</a></u>Proposals for hot food takeaway shops <u><a href="#">should be located within town centres and will only</a></u> be permitted where:</p>

		<p><del>a. The percentage of hot food takeaway shops will not exceed 5% of designated shopping frontage in the Metropolitan and District Town Centres and local centres;</del></p> <p><del>b. Within neighbourhood parades, other non-designated frontages and elsewhere in the borough, it is suitable <u>It can be</u> demonstrated that the proposal will not result in an overconcentration <u>or excessive clustering</u> of hot food takeaways <u>having regard to the existing number of hot food takeaways within the centre or frontage;</u></del></p>
DMM103	Paragraph 6.59	<p><i>Amend paragraph 6.59 to read:</i></p> <p>The Public Health Directorate has published a health evidence base, <u>which, along with Hot Food Takeaway Shops: An Evidence Base Study (2015) to has</u> inform<u>ed</u> preparation of Haringey’s Local Plan. <u>This highlights the link between health outcomes and the proximity of hot food takeaways.</u> The evidence identifies <u>a correlation between the distribution of hot food takeaways in Haringey and levels of overweight and obese children</u> that there are <u>approximately 170 hot food takeaways</u> across the Borough. <u>The concentration of hot food takeaways per 100,000 head of the population in Haringey was judged by the National Obesity Observatory as being in England’s top quintile of local fast food outlet concentrations.</u></p>
DMM104	Paragraph 6.60	<p><i>Amend the 1<sup>st</sup> sentence of paragraph 6.60 to read:</i></p> <p>In light of the above evidence base, it is considered appropriate for the Local Plan to seek to manage the development of hot food takeaways, <u>particularly around primary and secondary schools where they pose a significant health risk to children to deliver mixed and sustainable communities, including viable town and local centres.</u></p>
DMM105	Paragraph 6.61	<p>The Council considers that the <u>five percent threshold allowance for hot food takeaways in town centres approach</u> is reasonable to support economic development and demand for this use, whilst protecting against the adverse impacts that an overconcentration <u>or excessive clustering</u> of <u>this use hot food takeaways</u> can have on the vitality and viability of town and local centres and <u>other shopping frontages. This includes impacts on: the overall quality and diversity of the centre’s retail offer, including provision for a range uses that encourage linked trips; the continuity of uses in frontages, consistent with other plan policies; local character, townscape and public realm; environmental quality; public amenity; and community safety.</u></p>
DMM106	Paragraph 6.62	<p><i>Delete paragraph 6.62</i></p> <p><u>To assist with the implementation of this policy, the Council has mapped a “Healthy Eating Zone” (Figure 6.1) which reflects the extent of the 400 metre exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways. This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.</u></p> <p><i>and replace with the following paragraph:</i></p>

		<p><u>The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF ‘town centre first’ approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may used to ensure there are no adverse impacts on the local area.</u></p>
DMM107	Policy DM48  Part B - minor	<p><i>Amend Policy DM48 as follows:</i></p> <p>A. <u>Planning obligations will be sought on a scheme-by-scheme basis having regard to the relevant policy requirements of the Local Plan, development specific impacts, appropriate mitigation, viability, and the statutory tests for the use of planning obligations.</u></p>
DMM108	Paragraph 7.21	<p><i>Amend paragraph 7.21 Part iii to read:</i></p> <p>Suitable marketing activity of the business as an on-going concern over the past 12 months, <u>as demonstrated through evidence of an unsuccessful campaign to market the site for the existing use at terms which are appropriate to the local economic area (including details of commercial agents, adverts in publications and lease terms offered to existing or previous occupants);</u> and</p>
DMM109	minor	
DMM110	Policy DM55	<p><i>Amend Policy DM55 to include a new Part C to read:</i></p> <p><u>C. In the interim period where a masterplan is to be prepared but has not come forward as part of a planning application, proposals must demonstrate that they will not compromise delivery of the outcomes sought by the site allocation.</u></p>
DMM111	Paragraph 7.71	<p><i>Insert the following sentence after the third sentence of paragraph 7.71 and include the monitoring table (provided at the end of this schedule) at the end of paragraph 7.71:</i></p> <p><u>The exception is monitoring of the Warehouse Living Policy DM39 for which the monitoring framework is provided below.</u></p>
DMM112	Appendix F Glossary of Terms	<p><i>Amend definition of Warehouse Living to read:</i></p> <p><u>Warehouse living is a specific type of land use that has emerged over time in certain employment locations within Haringey. It comprises purpose built and genuine integrated, communal working and living accommodation specifically targeted at the creative industries sectors. This development typology does not fall within a specific Use Class – and is</u></p>

		<u>not live/work development – and is considered a Sui Generis use.</u>
DMM113	Policy DM39	<i>Include a new Part E as follows:</i>  <u>E. In the case of applications for improvements or extensions to existing buildings or temporary structures the applicant will not be required to complete a full masterplan, but will be required to demonstrate the proposal does not compromise the wider sites from coming forward for redevelopment. Such proposals will be assessed against the relevant policy requirements in Parts C and D of this Policy.</u>